Schokland and Surroundings

1. World Heritage Property Data

1.1 - Name of World Heritage property

Schokland and Surroundings

1.2 - World Heritage property details

1.3 - Geographic information table

Name	Coordinates	Property (ha)	Buffer zone (ha)	Total (ha)	Inscription year
Schokland and Surroundings	52.639 / 5.772	1306	0	1306	1995
Total (ha)		1306	0	1306	
1.4 - Man(s)					

1.4 - Map(s)

Title	Date	Link to source
Schokland and Surroundings - map of the inscribed property	1995	
Schokland and Surroundings - map of the World Heritage property	2015	

1.5 - Web and Social Media data of the property (if applicable)

- 1. Werelderfgoed in Nederland (dutch only)
- 2. Stichting Platform Werelderfgoed Nederland
- 3. Werelderfgoed Schockland
- 4. Cultural Heritage Agency of the Netherlands

Comment

1. not working, can be deleted 2. https://www.werelderfgoed.nl/, is the site of Stichting Werelderfgoed Nederland (this is the new name) 3. The name is: Werelderfgoed Schokland

2. Other Conventions/Programmes under which the World Heritage property is protected (if applicable)

2.1 - Records indicate that your World Heritage property (in whole or in part) is designated and/or protected under the Conventions/programmes shown in the prefilled table below. Please check and amend as necessary.

		The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme	The World Heritage property (in whole or in part) <u>is not</u> designated and/or protected under this convention/programme
2.1.1	International Register of Cultural Property under Special Protection (1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.2	List of Cultural Property under Enhanced Protection (Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict)		×
2.1.3	The List of Wetlands of International Importance (The Ramsar List) (Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention))		×
2.1.4	World Network of Biosphere Reserves Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme		×
2.1.5	Global Geoparks Network UNESCO Global Geoparks		×

2.2 - Please provide comments on 2.1 if necessary

Not applicable

2.3 - Do your national authorities intend to request the granting of Enhanced Protection (if relevant) under the Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict for the World Heritage property in the next three years?

No

2.4 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property for inclusion in the List of Wetlands

of International Importance (The Ramsar List), if relevant, in the next three years? No

2.5 - Do your national authorities intend to designate whole or part of the World Heritage property as a Man and Biosphere Reserve (if relevant) in the next three years?

No

2.6 - Do your national authorities intend to apply for whole or part of World Heritage property to be designated as a UNESCO Global Geopark (if relevant) in the next three years?

2.7 - Please indicate the level of cooperation at property level between designations under different Conventions/Programmes

2.7.1	1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.2	Second Protocol to the 1954 Hague Convention for the Protection of Cultural Property in the Event of Armed Conflict	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	Convention on Wetlands of International Importance (Ramsar Convention)	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	Man and the Biosphere (MAB) Programme	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	
2.7.5	UNESCO Global Geoparks	
2.7.1	There is no contact with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	×
2.7.2	The World Heritage Site Manager occasionally communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.3	The World Heritage Site Manager regularly communicates with the Focal Point(s) of this designation/programme.	
2.7.4	The World Heritage Site Manager also manages this designation/programme.	

2.8 - Please add any further comments on cooperation with the other designation(s)/programme(s)

There is regular contact with the focal point, but the above mentioned programmes are not applicable to our wordlheritagesite

2.9 - Are you aware of any elements associated with the World Heritage property that have been inscribed on the Representative List of the Intangible Cultural Heritage?

No

2.10 - Please list any elements associated with the World Heritage property inscribed under the Convention for the Safeguarding of the Intangible Cultural Heritage of which you are aware Not applicable

2.11 - Are you aware of any documentary heritage listed under the Memory of the World Programme associated with the World Heritage property?

No

2.12 - Please list any documentary heritage associated with the World Heritage property listed under the Memory of the World Programme of which you aware.

Not applicable

3. Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

3.1 - Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee

Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Brief synthesis

The struggle of the people of the Netherlands against water has endured, for more than six thousand years, and still continues today; without constant vigilance, more than half the present area of the country would be entirely submerged or subject to periodic inundation. Schokland was a peninsula that by the fifteenth century had become an island. Occupied and then abandoned as the sea encroached, it had to be evacuated in 1859. Following the impoldering of the Zuider Zee, however, it has formed part of the land reclaimed from the sea since the 1940s. Schokland has vestiges of human habitation going back to prehistoric times. It symbolizes the heroic, age-old struggle of the people of the Netherlands against the encroachment of the water. As a result of the colossal reclamation programme that began in the early years of the 20th century, Schokland and the settlement mounds and other human interventions that surround it stand as mute testimony to the skill and fortitude of the Dutch people in the face of this never-ceasing natural threat.

The contours of the former island of Schokland above the flat lands of the reclaimed Noordoostpolder are still easy to trace in the topography within the former island — there are four large village terps, all of them protected archaeological sites. A fifth such site includes traces of Neolithic, Bronze Age and Iron Age settlements.

The remains of dykes and terps located outside the present island reflect the former contours of the island and the land that has been lost over the course of time. Also located outside the present island, but within the boundaries of the World Heritage property, are more than 160 archaeological sites with remnants of prehistoric occupation. A church and church ruins, residential and commercial buildings, barns, a former harbour, and land division patterns (both old and new) go to complete the story of Schokland.

The area provides exceptional evidence of a cultural tradition of island-dwellers threatened by the water and ultimately evacuated; the first residents on the land reclaimed from the sea cultivated and developed that new land. The area is an exceptional example of a traditional type of settlement and land use that is representative of cultures, primarily when these have become vulnerable due to the influence of irreversible change.

Criterion (iii): Schokland and its surroundings preserve the last surviving evidence of a prehistoric and early historic society that had adapted to the precarious life of wetland settlements under the constant threat of temporary or permanent incursions by the sea.

Criterion (v):Schokland is included in the agricultural landscape that was created as a result of the reclamation of the former Zuider Zee, part of the never-ceasing struggle of the people of the Netherlands against the water and one of the greatest and most visionary human achievements of the twentieth century. The history of this region is excellently represented in this small area, with its settlements, cemeteries, terps, dykes and parcel systems.

Integrity

Despite having been part of the new man-made landscape since 1942, as an inland island used for large-scale agriculture, the contours of the former island are still clearly visible, with heritage remnants such as dykes and terps. The whole island and its immediate surroundings are included in the World Heritage property. Vestiges of all phases of the settlement history of Schokland are clearly recognisable: the traces of prehistoric settlement in the ground, the four terps on the eastern side of the island, the buildings on the island itself, the characteristic recent system of land division of the polder, and the green areas along the edge of the island. Without an appropriate management regime, dehydration and modern agriculture could threaten the area and cause damage to the archaeological deposits.

Authenticity

The authenticity of the site resides in its very existence. The nomination dossier was subtitled "symbol of the Dutch battle against water," an apt description of Schokland and its authenticity. There are at least 152 sites in and around Schokland where the remains of prehistoric settlements, dykes and terps have been discovered. Together, these reflect the former contours of the island, the land that has been lost over the course of time and due to the living conditions over a period of 6000 years. The island itself is still entirely authentic. Vestiges of the earlier buildings on the former island remain in the form of the Dutch Reformed church and the adjoining minister's house (1834) and a much-restored boathouse for an iceboat in Middelbuurt. All the other buildings were demolished after the evacuation in 1859. The wooden buildings in Middelbuurt housing the Schokland Museum, are replicas of buildings and barns in the traditional Zuider Zee style from about 1980. In Oud-Emmeloord, the lighthouse keeper's house (1882) and the foghorn house (about 1921) have been preserved. Some of the surviving features have been reconstructed, for example the harbour basin in Oud-Emmeloord with its jetties and ice aprons, the pile walls in Middelbuurt, and the foundations of the old beacon at the terp at the southern extremity. Sections of the foundations of the churches at the southern extremity have been restored. The church in Middelbuurt has been fully restored and given (nonoriginal) furnishings. A specialised company restored the church ruins using original materials. The harbours, the breakwater, and the lighthouse have been reconstructed according to the currently applicable legislation.

Protection and management requirements

Schokland and Surroundings comprises five protected national archaeological sites (four terps and an area with traces of prehistoric settlement) and five listed buildings, namely the lighthouse keeper's house and the foghorn at the Oud-Emmeloord terp at the northernmost point, the former Dutch Reformed church and the boathouse for an iceboat in Middelbuurt, and a ruined church at the southernmost point of the former island.

Since 2002, a hydrological buffer zone has been constructed on the east side of the island so as to prevent subsidence of the island and damage to the archaeological record in the soil due to groundwater depletion. The government has also bought up more than 200 hectares of agricultural land and terminated production there.

The municipality of Noordoostpolder became the site holder in 2010. Actual management, based on a management plan, is in the hands of the Flevo Landscape Foundation [*Stichting Flevolandschap*] and the municipality of Noordoostpolder. Besides management by the Flevo Landscape Foundation and the municipality (together some 500 hectares), the area is also used by the owners and tenants of agricultural land. In all cases, this use is intended to preserve the various features but at the same time to generate economic returns. The management plan is the result of specific agreements and administrative measures. The plan comprises specific tasks and responsibilities regarding preservation, management, and access of/to the Schokland World Heritage property and its surroundings. The management plan also makes clear the division of roles for these parties regarding management and preservation. The management plan is therefore a widely supported document which presents a shared view of the area and serves to unite all the parties involved, regarding concrete activities, organisation and finances. It also provides an integration framework for assessing the implementation of projects and ideas.

One of the most important projects for the parties involved concerns the continued monitoring of the state of conservation of archaeological sites in the area surrounding the former island. Due to soil subsidence there is a strained relationship between agricultural use of the land and the conservation of the archaeological remnants. Since 2012, all parties strive to define a second hydrological buffer zone at the southern tip of the former island, involving another 200 hectares of land.

The Dutch government intends to designate the World Heritage property Schokland and Surroundings as a protected conservation area under the 1988 Monuments

and Historic Buildings Act [Monumentenwet 1988].

Comment

Since 2012, all parties have strived to define a second hydrological buffer zone at the southern tip of the former island, involving another 200 hectares of land. In 2023 this has been realised, securing the longterm protection of the OUV on site. The Dutch government intends to designate the World Heritage property Schokland and Surroundings as a protected conservation area under the Heritage Act (2016) and the Planning Act (2024).

3.2 - Please list the key attributes of Outstanding Universal Value of your property and give an assessment of their condition. As a guideline, it is suggested to focus on approximately five key attributes (no more than 15 overall).

	Brief identification of attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
3.2.1	152 archaeological sites comprising 8000 years of occupational history	×			
3.2.2	remains of dykes and terps, cemeteries and parcel systems	×			
3.2.3	4 large village terps	×			
3.2.4	monumental buildings and elements pre 2nd World War	×			
3.2.5	the former island of Schokland and its contours	×			
3.2.6	the topography of the reclamation of the former Zuyder Zee	×			
3.2.7	the old harbour	×			
3.2.8	the visible contrast between the former island and the reclamation	×			
3.2.9	the green infrastructure surrounding roads, former island and farmyards	×			
3.2.10	the farmyards belonging to the reclamation period	×			
3.2.11					
3.2.12					
3.2.13					
3.2.14					
3.2.15					

3.3 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Statement of Outstanding Universal Value

Regarding the terps and archaeological sites there is a problem with soilsubsidence. Measurements are taken to prevent compromise and achieve longterm preservation, but this is a slow proces. All archaeological sites are being monitored.

4. Factors Affecting the Property

4.1. Buildings and Development

4.1.1 - Housing

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.2 - Commercial development Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.3 - Industrial areas Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.4 - Major visitor accommodation and associated infrast Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	tructure
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.1.5 - Interpretative and visitation facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside 	

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	→ Stable	Increasing
 Positive X 		×	×				
Negative							

4.1.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.1 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The site is working towards a new visitorscentre and is creating new walkingtrails and visitorsfacilities on the island and surrounding the island. The museum has had a new and more interactive display. The restaurant is much improved.

4.2. Transportation Infrastructure

4.2.1 - Ground transport infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.2 - Underground transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.3 - Air transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.4 - Marine transport infrastructure Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.2.5 - Effects arising from use of transportation infrastruct Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	cture
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.2.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.2 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Not applicable

4.3. Services Infrastructures

4.3.1 - Water infrastructure

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):
 - Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside, Outside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
PositiveX		×	×	×		→	
Negative							
4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities							

4.3.2 - Renewable energy facilities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

X Relevant Not relevant

	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×		×			
 4.3.3 - Non-renewable energy facilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
 4.3.4 - Localised utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): ● Not relevant 							
Relevant			× Not releva	ant			
 4.3.5 - Major linear utilities Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 							
× Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative		×	×	×			

4.3.6 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.3 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

In the surrounding area of the site developments regarding sustainable energysources occur. This means we have to be alert regarding the use of solar panels and its visible impact. Also a new and stronger powerline is being realised, where one of the alternatives is planned within the worldheritage site. A heritage impact assessment is made to ensure that the impact of such a development is stated and prevented. Furthermore a new waterinfiltration system is implemented in the southern area.

4.4. Pollution

4.4.1 - Pollution of marine waters

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.2 - Ground water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.3 - Surface water pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.4 - Air pollution Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.4.5 - Solid waste Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.4.6 - Input of excess energy

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.4.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.4 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Not applicable

4.5. Biological resource use/modification

4.5.1 - Fishing/collecting aquatic resources

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):
 - Not relevant

 Relevant
 * Not relevant

 4.5.2 - Aquaculture
 Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): • Not relevant

 Relevant
 * Not relevant

4.5.3 - Land conversion

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):
 - Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
 Positive X 		×	×				1	
Negative								

4.5.4 - Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

Relevant, Positive, Potential, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	→ Stable	Increasing	
 Positive X 		×	×				1	
Negative								

4.5.5 - Crop production

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
Positive							
Negative	×		×			→	
4.5.6 - Commercial wild Previous answer Cycle 2 (17		n					

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.5.7 - Subsistence wild plant collection

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.8 - Commercial huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.5.9 - Subsistence huntingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.5.10 - Forestry/Wood production Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.5.11 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.5 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Within the municipality of Noordoostpolder solisubsidence is a real problem, both to the agricultural use as to the archaeological sites. Furthermore, the goals regarding sustainable landuse, for example deminiscing nitrogen, means that this will impact the traditional agricultural use. The sector is working towards other forms of agriculture, new crops suitable for higher groundwatertables. This development however does have a positive effect on the preservation of the archaeological sites.

4.6. Physical resource extraction

4

4.6.1 - Mining Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.2 - Quarrying Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): • Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.3 - Oil and gas Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.6.4 - Water (extraction) Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.6.5 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors so positively Not applicable	elected as relevant in 4.6 are affecting the property either negatively or

4.7. Local conditions affecting physical fabric

4.7.1 - Wind

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant

× Not relevant

4.7.2 - Relative humidity

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): • Not relevant

Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.3 - Temperature Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.4 - Radiation/Light Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.5 - Dust Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.6 - Water (rain/water table) Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.7 - PestsPrevious answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.7.8 - Micro-organisms Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.7.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively Not applicable	selected as relevant in 4.7 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.8. Social/Cultural uses of heritage	
 4.8.1 - Ritual/Spiritual/Religious and associative uses Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.2 - Society's valuing of heritage Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.3 - Indigenous hunting, gathering and collecting Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.4 - Changes in traditional ways of life and knowledge s Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	system
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.8.5 - Identity, social cohesion, changes in local population and community

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.8.6 - Impacts of tourism/Visitation/Recreation Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.8.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively Not applicable	elected as relevant in 4.8 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.9. Other human activities	
 4.9.1 - Illegal activities Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.2 - Deliberate destruction of heritage Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.3 - Military trainingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.4 - WarPrevious answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.5 - Terrorism Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.9.6 - Civil unrest Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.9.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors s positively Not applicable	elected as relevant in 4.9 are affecting the property either negatively or
4.10. Climate change and severe weather events	
4.10.1 - StormsPrevious answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	X Not relevant
4.10.2 - FloodingPrevious answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):Not relevant	
Relevant	× Not relevant

4.10.3 - Drought

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

Not relevant

Relevant			🗙 Not releva	nt				
4.10.4 - Desertification Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/ • Not relevant	07/2013):							
Relevant			🗙 Not releva	nt				
4.10.5 - Changes to ocea Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/ • Not relevant								
Relevant			🗙 Not releva	nt				
	4.10.6 - Temperature change Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): • Not relevant							
Relevant			× Not releva	X Not relevant				
 4.10.7 - Other climate change impacts Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 								
X Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
O Positive								
Negative		×	×	×				

4.10.8 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.10 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Due to rising watertables and soilsubsidence, as a result of climatic change, the site has to prepare for future and current impact in order to ensure preservation of the outstanding universal values.

4.11. Sudden ecological or geological events

4.11.1 - Volcanic eruption

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

Not relevant

	A&
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.2 - Earthquake Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant
 4.11.3 - Tsunami/Tidal wave Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	× Not relevant
 4.11.4 - Avalanche/Landslide Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 	
Relevant	X Not relevant

4.11.5 - Erosion and siltation/Deposition

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

• Relevant, Negative, Current, Inside

X Relevant	Relevant				Not relevant				
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	Cutside	Solution Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive									
Negative	×		×						
 4.11.6 - Fire (wildfire) Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 									
Relevant			× Not releva	X Not relevant					

4.11.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.11 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Due to rising watertables and soilsubsidence, as a result of climatic change, erosion is a problem. The site has to prepare for future and current impact in order to ensure preservation of the outstanding universal values.

4.12. Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

4.12.1 - Translocated species

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

Not relevant

X Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
Positive									
Segative ★	×		×			→			
 4.12.2 - Invasive/Alien terrestrial species Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 									
Relevant	Relevant X Not relevant								
 4.12.3 - Invasive/Alien freshwater species Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 									
Relevant			× Not releva	ant					
4.12.4 - Invasive/Alien m Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/ • Not relevant	-								
Relevant			× Not releva	ant					
 4.12.5 - Hyper-abundant species Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013): Not relevant 									
Relevant	Relevant X Not relevant								
4.12.6 - Modified genetic Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/ • Not relevant									
Relevant	X Not relevant								

4.12.7 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.12 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

Within the hydrological zone the growth of reed is an issue. Frequent mowing is needed so restrict the growth of reed. The plant is invasive en can potentially endanger the archaological remains.

4.13. Management and institutional factors

4.13.1 - Management system/Management plan

X Relevant				Not relevant			
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact		
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
 Positive X 	×		×			→	
Negative							

4.13.2 - Legal framework

× Relevant	Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact			
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing	
 Positive X 	×		×				1	
Negative								

4.13.3 - Governance

X Relevant		Not relevant							
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	9 Potential	Inside	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
 Positive X 	×		×			+			
Negative									

4.13.4 - Management activities

- Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):
 - Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

× Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend of impact					
Impact	Gurrent	9 Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
 Positive X 	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.5 - Financial resources

× Relevant			Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin	Origin Trend of impact					
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
 Positive X 	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.6 - Human resources

X Relevant			Not relevant	
	Impact	Origin		Trend of impact

Impact	4 Current	Potential	 Inside 	Cutside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing
 Positive X 	×		×			→	
Negative		×	×			→	

4.13.7 - Low impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant	Not relevant								
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	Current	Potential	 Inside 	C Outside	Secreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
 Positive X 	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.8 - High impact research/monitoring activities

Previous answer Cycle 2 (17/07/2013):

• Relevant, Positive, Current, Inside

X Relevant			Not relevant						
	Impact		Origin		Trend of impact				
Impact	4 Current	Potential	Inside	C Outside	> Decreasing	⇒ Stable	Increasing		
 Positive X 	×		×			→			
Negative									

4.13.9 - Please comment as necessary on how the factors selected as relevant in 4.13 are affecting the property either negatively or positively

The management of the site is stable. There are of course issues that have to be adressed. By means of an administrative agreement (our managementplan 2020-2025) we adress these issues. Attracting sufficient personel is a problem, as is finding sufficient funding for the maintenance of the nature area and the restoration of the church ruine. This means that certain issues can not be resolved as quickly as we would like.

4.14. Other factor(s)

4.14.1 - Other factor(s)

Not applicable

4.15. Factors Summary Table

4.15.1 - Factors Summary Table

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.1 Buildings and Development					
4.1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities	٢	9	۲		
4.3 Services Infrastructures					
4.3.1 Water infrastructure	٢	9	٢	Ċ	→
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities					
	0	9		۴	
4.3.5 Major linear utilities					
	0	9	٩	۴	
4.5 Biological resource use/modification					
4.5.3 Land conversion	٢	9	٢		

4.5.4 Livestock farming/Grazing of domesticated anim	als			٢		9	٢		1	
4.5.5 Crop production				0	q		۲		→	
4.10 Climate change and severe weather events				-						
4.10.7 Other climate change impacts										
				٢		9	٢	Ċ		
4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events										
4.11.5 Erosion and siltation/Deposition										
				٢	9		۲			
4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant specie	;									
4.12.1 Translocated species										
				٢	9		٢		→	
4.13 Management and institutional factors	4.13 Management and institutional factors									
4.13.1 Management system/Management plan				٢	9		٢		→	
4.13.2 Legal framework				٥	9		٢			
4.13.3 Governance				٢	4		٢		→	
4.13.4 Management activities				٢	9		٢		→	
4.13.5 Financial resources				٢	9		٢		→	
4.13.6 Human resources				٢	4		٩		→	
				٥		9	٩		→	
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities				٢	4		٩		→	
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities				٢	9		٢		→	
Legend Current Poten	ial	Negative	O Positive	 Insi 	de		C Outsi	de		

4.16. Assessment of current and potential positive and negative factors

4.16.1 - Assessment of current and potential negative and positive factors

4.1 Buildings and Development

Name		Impact			Origin		Trend
4.1.5 Interp	1.5 Interpretative and visitation facilities			9	۲		
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						

	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

4.3 Services Infrastructures

Name		Impact Ori		Origin		Trend	
4.3.1 Wate	I.3.1 Water infrastructure			9	۲	G	→
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - In	npact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						
	Major						
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond						

	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.2 Renewable energy facilities					
	0	9		Ċ	

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	ile - Area antected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
×	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static

×	Increasing					
Name		Impact		Origin		Trend
4.3.5 Major I	inear utilities					
		0	9	۲	Ċ	
Spatial scale	e - Area affected by the factor					
×	Restricted					

	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact					
×	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	Trend - Developement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.5 Biological resource use/modification

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.5.3 Land	conversion	٢	9	۲	1
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor				
	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
×	Intermittent or sporadic				
	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				

Management response - Capacity of management to respond

manageme	
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.5.4 Lives	stock farming/Grazing of domesticated animals	٢	9	۹		1
Spatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
	Restricted					
×	Localised					
	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
×	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - In	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
×	Minor					
	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
×	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
×	Static					
	Increasing					

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.5.5 Crop production				
	0	4	٢	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	apact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
×	Decreasing
	Static
	Increasing

4.10 Climate change and severe weather events

Name	lame		Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.10.7 Othe	r climate change impacts						
		0		9	٢	Ċ	
Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor						
	Restricted						
×	Localised						
	Extensive						
	Widespread						
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact						
	One off or rare						
	Intermittent or sporadic						
×	Frequent						
	On-going						
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes						
	Insignificant						
	Minor						
×	Significant						

	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

4.11 Sudden ecological or geological events

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.11.5 Ero	sion and siltation/Deposition					
		٢	9		۲	
Snatial sc	ale - Area affected by the factor					
opatial 30	Restricted					
×	Localised					
~	Extensive					
	Widespread					
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact					
remporal	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
×	Frequent					
	On-going					
Impact - Ir	npact on the attributes					
	Insignificant					
	Minor					
×	Significant					
	Major					
Managem	ent response - Capacity of management to respond					
×	High capacity					
	Medium capacity					
	Low capacity					
	No capacity and / or resources					
Trend - De	evelopement over the last 6 years					
	Decreasing					
	Static					
×	Increasing					

4.12 Invasive/alien species or hyper-abundant species

Name		Impact		Origin	Trend
4.12.1 Tran	slocated species				
		0	9	۹	→
Spatial sea	le - Area affected by the factor				
Spatial Sca	Restricted				
×	Localised				
	Extensive				
	Widespread				
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact				
	One off or rare				
	Intermittent or sporadic				
×	Frequent				
	On-going				
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes				
	Insignificant				
	Minor				
×	Significant				
	Major				
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond				
×	High capacity				
	Medium capacity				
	Low capacity				
	No capacity and / or resources				
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years				
×	Decreasing				
	Static				
	Increasing				

4.13 Management and institutional factors

Name	ame Impact		Origin	Tren		
4.13.1 Man	4.13.1 Management system/Management plan		9		۲	→
Spatial cas	le - Area affected by the factor					
Spatial Sca	e - Area anected by the factor					
	Restricted					
	Localised					
	Extensive					
×	Widespread					
Temporal s	cale - Occurence of the impact					
	One off or rare					
	Intermittent or sporadic					
	Frequent					

×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend
4.13.2 Legal framework	٢	9	٢	

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - In	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static

Name	Impact		Origin	Trend	
4.13.3 Governance	٢	9	٢		→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
×	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
×	High capacity
	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend
4.13.4 Management activities	٢	9		۲	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

	·
	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
×	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent

×	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name	Impact	t	Origin	Trend
4.13.5 Financial resources	٢	4	٢	→

Spatial sca	ale - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	npact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	ent response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - De	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

Increasing

Name	Impact	t		Origin	Trend
4.13.6 Human resources	٢	9		۹	→
	0		9	۲	\rightarrow

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

Spatial sca	le - Area affected by the factor
×	Restricted
	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
×	Insignificant
	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
	Static
×	Increasing

Name		Impact			Trend
4.13.7 Low impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9		۲	→

Spatial scale - Area affected by the factor

oputiai sou	
	Restricted
	Localised
×	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	icale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
	Intermittent or sporadic
×	Frequent

	On-going
Impact - Im	pact on the attributes
	Insignificant
	Minor
×	Significant
	Major
Manageme	nt response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static
	Increasing

Name	Impact			Origin	Trend →
4.13.8 High impact research/monitoring activities	٢	9		۲	→

Spatial sca	Ile - Area affected by the factor
	Restricted
×	Localised
	Extensive
	Widespread
Temporal s	scale - Occurence of the impact
	One off or rare
×	Intermittent or sporadic
	Frequent
	On-going
Impact - Im	spact on the attributes
	Insignificant
×	Minor
	Significant
	Major
Manageme	Int response - Capacity of management to respond
	High capacity
×	Medium capacity
	Low capacity
	No capacity and / or resources
Trend - Dev	velopement over the last 6 years
	Decreasing
×	Static

4.17. Serial inscriptions (national or transnational)

4.17.1 - If your property is a serial inscription (national or transnational) please identify which components of the property are impacted by each factor

Not applicable

4.18. Prediction of the state of conservation at next cycle of Periodic Reporting.

4.18.1 - Please predict what the state of conservation of each attribute will be approximately 6 years from now (at the time of the next cycle of Periodic Reporting)

	Attribute	Preserved	Compromised	Seriously compromised	Lost
4.18.1.1	152 archaeological sites comprising 8000 years of occupational history	×			
4.18.1.2	remains of dykes and terp, cemeteries and parcel systems, old harbour	×			
4.18.1.3	4 large village terps	×			
4.18.1.4	monumental buildings and elements	×			
4.18.1.5	the former island of Schokland and its contours, including the contrast between the island and the topography of the reclamation	×			

5. Protection and Management of the Property

5.1. Boundaries and Buffer Zones

5.1.1 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The boundaries are adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.1.2 - Are the boundaries of the World Heritage property known and recognised?

The boundaries are known by both the management authority and local communities/landowners

5.1.3 - Are the buffer zone(s) of the World Heritage property adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value? The property has no buffer zone and does not need one

5.1.4 - Are the boundaries of the buffer zones known and recognised?

The property has no known and recognised buffer zone

5.1.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to boundaries and buffer zones of the World Heritage property The property had no buffer zone on the moment of its inscription. No bufferzone is needed.

5.2. Protective Measures

5.2.1 - Protective designation (legal, regulatory, contractual, planning, institutional and/or traditional).

National

- Spatial law (Nota Ruimte) 2006
- Policy document Heritage and space (Visie Erfgoed en Ruimte, Kiezen voor Karakter) 2012
- Heritage law, revision archeology (Wet op de Archeologische Monumentenzorg) 2007
- Spatial planning law (Wet Ruimtelijke Ordening) 2008
- Housing law (Woningwet) 2012
- 1988 Monuments Act (Monumentenwet) 1988 (for the protection and conservation of archaeological monuments)
- Housing Act (Woningwet) (2003) (for establishing criteria for building aesthetics)
- Forestry Act (Boswet) (1961) (for the conservation and, where possible, extension of the acreage of forests and timberland)
- Flora and Fauna Act (Flora- Faunawet) (1998) (for the protection of wild animals and plants)
- Space/Space for Development Document (Nota Ruimte. Ruimte voor Ontwikkeling) (2004) (Ministries of Housing, Spatial Planning and Environmental Management (Volkshuisvesting, Ruimtelijke Ordening en Milieubeheer - VROM), Agriculture, Nature Management and Food Quality (Landbouw, Natuurbeheer en Voedselkwaliteit - LNV), Transport, Public Works and Water Management (Verkeer en Waterstaat - V&W), Economic Affairs (Economische Zaken - EZ)).

As regards the central government's key planning decision 'National Spatial Policy', the document states, for example, that 'the central government has the international obligation and responsibility to realise the protection of the world heritage in its own country using existing tools'.

For the World Heritage, the built and archaeological monuments at the site are, in principle, sufficiently protected via the Monuments and Historic Buildings Act and the provincial and municipal regulations. The central government facilitates conservation through existing legal and financial tools for cultural heritage and nature'

Province of Flevoland

- Landscape regulation (Landschapsverordening) 2005
- Regional plan for nature and landscape (Gebiedsplan voor natuur en landschap) (2002) (forms the basis for nature, forestry and landscape subsidy)
- Landscape Bye-Law (Landschapsverordening) (2002) (states that it is forbidden to place captions, announcements or, for example, advertising boards outside the built-up area of a town/city)
- Surrounds Plan (Omgevingsplan) (2000) (The plan defines 'Schokland and its surroundings' where the regionally-oriented policy applies. The plan also states that Schokland is an environmental protection area where the soil is concerned and, as such, undertakes to conserve its abiotic, biotic and

cultural-historical values)

• Earth Removal Bye-Law (1997) (includes conditions regarding the granting or otherwise of permits for earth removal deeper than 0.3m below ground level)

Municipality of Noordoostpolder

- Landscape Policy Plan (Landschapsbeleidsplan), adopted in 2003, defines the municipality's vision for the landscaping around Schokland
- Rural Area Zoning Plan (Bestemmingsplan landelijk gebied) (1978) (the land within the site is primarily for agricultural purposes). Also see 03.04.
- Art. 18 of the Draft Rural Area Zoning Plan (Ontwerp-Bestemmingsplan Landelijk Gebied) 2004 (under consideration) provides further conditions for 'cultural-historically valuable elements', indicating the designation for each element (relates to, for example, the former harbour, the 'Lighthouse Keeper's House', the historic building referred to as the 'Misthoorn'', the museum in Middelbuurt, the Zuidert (former residential terp), the 'church ruin' with terp at Zuidpunt
- Art. 19 of the Draft Rural Area Zoning Plan (Ontwerp-Bestemmingsplan Landelijk Gebied) 2004 (under consideration) provides further conditions for 'cultural-historically valuable areas', which concern the conservation and reinforcement of remains of the former island of Schokland, such as dikes, terps and parcelling out patterns, in addition to conserving local archaeological and geological values
- Art 21 of the Draft Rural Area Zoning Plan (Ontwerp-Bestemmingsplan Landelijk Gebied) 2004 (under consideration) provides further conditions concerning 'areas of archaeological value or expectation value''.
- Here, therefore, no work may be carried out on or in these areas, read land, over an area of 100m² or more, deeper than 0.5m under the water level and no deep-rooted plants may be planted or any ground raised or equalised unless the Municipal Executive has granted written permission to do so
- Monument bye-law (1996) to date not applicable to the site, as national government protection has priority over municipal protection)

Zuiderzeeland District Water Board

- Water Level Decrees 'Schokland and surroundings' (Peilbesluiten 'Schokland en omgeving') (2002 and 2003) (regulate and determine the levels of the surface water at the site)
- See further under 02.02, 05.01, 07.02 of 'Regional document'

Source: Periodic Reporting Cycle 1; Periodic Reporting Cycle 2

Comment

Heritage Act 2016 Planning Act (te be implemented 01-01-2024) The National Spatial Strategy states that the Dutch government has the international obligation and responsibility to regulate the protection of World Heritage within Dutch legislation. The expected Environment and Planning Act will include a section about World Heritage.

5.2.2 - Please list any legislation and other measures (regulatory -including spatial planning- contractual, institutional or traditional) not included in 5.2.1 and indicate the category

2020 / Managementplan Schokland and surroundings 2020-2025 / no / yes / yes / Municipality of Noordoostpolder, Administrative agreement siteholder Worldheritagesite Schokland and surroundings / yes /

2018 / Municipality of Noordoostpolder archaeological policy / yes / yes / no / Municipality of Noordoostpolder / yes /

2015 / Managementplan natura area Schokland 2015-2020 / no / yes / no / Flevo-landscape Foundation / yes /

5.2.3 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation including spatial planning) adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework for maintaining of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.4 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) adequate in the buffer zone for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

The legal framework in the buffer zone for the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the World Heritage property provides an adequate basis for effective management and protection

5.2.5 - Is the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) in the broader setting of the World Heritage property adequate for maintaining the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Integrity and/or Authenticity of the property?

An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property

5.2.6 - Can the legal framework (i.e. legislation and/or regulation) be enforced?

There is adequate capacity/resources to enforce legislation and/or regulation in the World Heritage property

5.2.7 - Please provide a short summary of how the legislation, including spatial planning and other regulation, works in practice The managementplan has been agreed upon by all partners. In the managementplan responsabilities are specified of each party involved. This also means working together on legislation to improve the preservation of the OUV.

5.2.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations about the information related to the measures taken to protect the World Heritage property

When the Planning Act comes into force, the legislation for the protection of the site will have improved. It offers more possibilities for enforcing protection.

5.3. Management System/Management Plan

5.3.1 - Please check the box which most closely match the character of the governance and management system of the property Public management system joint national/ local

If 'Other', please specify

5.3.2 - Management System: Please indicate which of the various management tools listed below are used to help protect the property.

A statutory Management Plan or zoning plan for the property.

Other forms of statutory or non-statutory plans (e.g. strategic plans)

Traditional ways of management recognised by local communities and other specific groups

Governance mechanisms that foster and respect traditional practices, knowledge and uses of the property

Agreed 'Memorandums of Understanding' between different managing institutions, groups or others, including documents agreed with local communities for management

Mechanisms to promote equal participation among and within groups, including different levels of authority, local communities, indigenous people, women and men, and other specific groups

A code of practice developed by local communities or other groups

An integrated management plan combining World Heritage and any other designations

A management plan

An annual work plan or business plan

A disaster, climate or conflict risk management plan

A visitor/visitation management plan

An environmental management framework

An assessment of biological and cultural diversity and ecosystem services provided by the property

A joint approach to management of cultural and natural heritage

5.3.3 - Please give a brief description of the management system currently in place at your property

The managementplan has been agreed upon by all partners. In the managementplan responsabilities are specified of each party involved. This also means working together on issues that present themselves, be it cultural, natural, biological, financial, etc.

5.3.4 - Management Documents

Title	Status	Available	Date	Link to source
Beheersplan voor het reservaatsgebied Schokland	N/A	Available	1993	

Comment

Managementplan Worldheritagesite Schokland and surroundings 2020-2025

5.3.5 - Has any use been made of the 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape in developing policies and best practices for the protection of this property?

The 2011 Recommendation on the Historic Urban Landscape is not relevant to this property

5.3.6 - If the Historic Urban Landscape Recommendation has been used at this property, please describe briefly what has been done. Not applicable

5.3.7 - Has any use been made of the Policy Document on the Impacts of Climate Change on World Heritage Properties at the property?

The policy for dealing with climate change is fully based on the agreed World Heritage policy

5.3.8 - If the Climate Change policy has been used, please briefly describe what has been done along with any research on the impacts of Climate Change on the property:

The site is monitored yearly on issues correlating to climate change.

5.3.9 - Has any use been made of the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties at the property ? The risk management policy is **fully based** on the agreed Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties

5.3.10 - If the Strategy for Reducing Risks from Disasters at World Heritage Properties has been used, please briefly describe what has been done

We have on-going talks about riskdisastermanagement, with local en regional partners.

5.3.11 - Rate the coordination between the various levels of administration (i.e. national/federal; regional/provincial/state;

local/municipal etc.) involved in the management of the World Heritage property

There is adequate coordination between all bodies/levels involved in the management of the property

5.3.12 - Is the management system/plan adequate to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The management system/plan is **fully adequate** to maintain the property's Outstanding Universal Value

5.3.13 - Is the management system being implemented?

The management system is being fully implemented and monitored

5.3.14 - Is there an annual work/action plan and is it being implemented?

An annual work/action plan exists and many of its activities are being implemented

5.3.15 - Does the management system include formal mechanisms and procedures that ensure participation and contribution of the following groups, living within or near the World Heritage property and/or buffer zone in management decisions that maintain the

Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

		Not applicable	No mechanisms for participation	Some participation	Direct participation	Transformative participation in all relevant decision processes
5.3.15.1	Local communities					×
5.3.15.2	Local authorities					×
5.3.15.3	Landowners in the property and the buffer zone					×
5.3.15.4	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.15.5	Women	×				
5.3.15.6	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected, 'Other specific groups' please specify					

5.3.16 - Please rate the cooperation/relationship between the World Heritage property managers/coordinators/staff and the following groups

		Not applicable	Non-existent	Poor	Fair	Good
5.3.16.1	Local communities				×	
5.3.16.2	Local/Municipal authorities					×
5.3.16.3	Indigenous peoples	×				
5.3.16.4	Landowners				×	
5.3.16.5	Women	×				
5.3.16.6	Youth/Children	×				
5.3.16.7	Researchers					×
5.3.16.8	Local Visitors/Tourists				×	
5.3.16.9	National/International tourists				×	
5.3.16.10	Tourism Industry				×	
5.3.16.11	Local businesses and industries				×	
5.3.16.12	NGOs	×				
5.3.16.13	Other specific groups	×				
	If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify					

5.3.17 - Please rate the extent to which the management system of your property contributes towards achieving the objectives of the World Heritage Committee's Policy for the Integration of a Sustainable Development Perspective into the Processes of the *World Heritage Convention*

		Not applicable	No contribution	Limited	Significant	Full achievement
5.3.17.1	The management system of the property contributes to gender equality					×
5.3.17.2	The management system of the property provides ecosystem services/benefits to the local community (e.g. fresh air, water, food, medicinal plants)	×				
5.3.17.3	The management system of the property contributes to social inclusion and equity, improving opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion or economic or other status					×
5.3.17.4	The management system of the property integrates a human rights-based approach	×				
5.3.17.5	The management system of the property contributes to fostering inclusive local economic development, and to enhancing livelihood				×	
5.3.17.6	The management system of the property contributes to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around the World Heritage property				×	

5.3.18 - Please provide further details on the ratings of the management system given in the table above

Decisionmaking involves all parties that have a stake in the daily management of the site.

5.3.19 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the management system/plan

Since 2019 the inhabitants have a say in the decisionmaking proces. This is an improvement upon the last periodic report. Furthermore structural talks about safety and riskdisastermanagement are now in place.

6. Financial and Human Resources

6.1. Funding

6.1.1 - If your funding sources do not exactly fit those shown, put the relevant amounts against the funding type that most closely represents your situation, and use the comment box below to provide more details.

		Project costs	Running costs
6.1.1.1	Multilateral funding (GEF, World Bank, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.2	Bilateral international funding	%	%
6.1.1.3	World Heritage Fund (International Assistance)	%	%
6.1.1.4	Contribution from other conventions and programmes	%	%
6.1.1.5	International donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.6	Governmental (national/federal)	45 %	5 %
6.1.1.7	Governmental (regional/provincial/state)	40 %	5 %
6.1.1.8	Governmental (local/municipal)	10 %	59 %
6.1.1.9	In-country donations (NGOs, foundations, etc.)	5 %	30 %
6.1.1.10	Individual visitor charges (e.g. entry, toilets, parking, camping fees, etc.)	%	1 %
6.1.1.11	Commercial activities (e.g. merchandising and catering, filming permit, concessions, etc.)	%	%
6.1.1.12	Other	%	%
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.2 - Please comment here on any other aspects of funding sources not covered in the table above

It is difficult to ensure enough funding for all the aspects of conservation. The issues we face have mostly to do with the maintenance of the nature area and with extra funding needed for the restoration of monumental buildings, which is costly

6.1.3 - Is the current budget sufficient to manage the World Heritage property effectively?

The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs

6.1.4 - Are the existing sources of funding secure and likely to remain so?

The existing sources of funding are secure over both the medium- and long-term

6.1.5 - Comments, conclusion, and/or recommendations related to finance and infrastructure

We have secured the basic funding needed for management purposes, which is an improvement to the last periodic report.

6.1.6 - Estimate the distribution of men and women involved in the management, conservation, interpretation of the World Heritage properties and the extent to which they are drawn from local communities.

		From local communities %	From elsewhere %
6.1.6.1	Men	40 %	50 %
6.1.6.2	Women	60 %	50 %
		Total 100 %	Total 100 %

6.1.7 - Are available human resources adequate to manage the World Heritage property?

Human resources are adequate for management needs

6.1.8 - Considering the management needs of the World Heritage property, please rate the availability of professionals in the following disciplines

Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Fair
Capacity development and education	Fair
Administration	Good

Research and monitoring	Fair
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Fair
Marketing and promotion	Fair
Interpretation	Fair
Visitor management/tourism	Fair
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Fair

6.1.9 - Please rate the availability of training opportunities for the management of the World Heritage property in the following disciplines

•	
Conservation	Good
Environmental sustainability	Good
Community participation and inclusion	Good
Risk preparedness	Good
Capacity development and education	Good
Administration	Good
Research and monitoring	Good
Awareness raising and public information/communication	Good
Marketing and promotion	Good
Interpretation	Good
Visitor management/tourism	Good
Enforcement (custodians, police)	Good

6.1.10 - Has any use been made of the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building at the property?

Training and capacity building at this property is fully based on the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building

6.1.11 - If the World Heritage Strategy for Capacity Building has been used, please briefly describe what has been done.

All the worldheritagesites in the Netherlands organise capacity building together, initiated by the state party. The subjectmatter varies, from tourism to sustainability, depending on what the sites need. The last few years inclusion and local participation from the inhabitants has been a focal point for us.

6.1.12 - Are there site-specific capacity building plans or programmes that develop local expertise and that contribute to the transfer of skills for the conservation and management of the World Heritage property?

A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff

6.1.13 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to human resources, expertise and training

We have a specific program for volunteers in working order. Especially in the nature area this is working well. The number of local guides for the museum needs to grow. Furthermore we organise a Worldheritagecafe every 6 to 9 months, where all the inhabitants can come and ask questions, in order tot improve communication, knowledge about the OUV and commitment.

7. Scientific Studies and Research Projects

7.1 - Is there adequate knowledge (scientific or traditional) about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property to support planning, management and decision-making to ensure that Outstanding Universal Value is maintained? Knowledge about the values and attributes of the World Heritage property is **adequate**

7.2 - Is there a planned programme of research at the property which is directed towards management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, **integrated programme** of research, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of Outstanding Universal Value

7.3 - Are results from research programmes publicly available and disseminated?

Research results are shared widely with active outreach to local communities and national and international audiences

7.4 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to scientific studies and research projects

We have been showcased in a international EU research programma about fostering social responsability in heritage management, furthermore the sitemanager teaches a course on heritage management in the international class for heritage management at Leiden University. All research on the site is shared with local, regional and national parties involved.

8. Education, Information and Awareness Building

8.1 - Please rate the awareness and understanding of the existence and justification for inscription of the World Heritage property

amongst the following groups

Local communities	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Good
Women	Fair
Youth/children	Fair
Researchers	Good
Local visitors	Fair
National/international tourists	Fair
Tourism industry	Fair
Local businesses and industries	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please describe	

8.2 - Does the property have a heritage education programme(s) for children and/or youth, that can contribute to a better understanding of heritage, promote diversity and foster intercultural dialogue?

There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs

8.3 - Who are the target audiences for education and awareness programmes at your property?

Local communities	
Youth/children	
Local Visitors	
National/international tourists	

8.4 - Please rate the adequacy of the following visitor facilities and services at the World Heritage property for education, information, interpretation and awareness building

Visitor centre	Fair
Site museum	Fair
Information booths	Fair
Guided tours	Fair
Trails/routes	Fair
Printed information materials	Fair
Online (website, social media, etc.)	Fair
Transportation facilities	Poor
Other	Not needed
If 'Other' is selected, please specify	

8.5 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to education, information and awareness building

Especially the online presence could be improved upon. We are working towards a new visitorcentre, and new trails are being realised.

9. Visitor Management

9.1 - Please provide estimated annual visitor numbers (including national and international visitors) since the last Periodic Report

40951 / 27204 / 21244 / 50333 / 43030 /

9.2 - What information sources are used to collect visitor statistics?

Entry tickets and registries

Visitor surveys

9.3 - What is the average length stay of a visitor to the World Heritage property?

One to three hours

9.4 - Please provide the source of information

Museum Schokland and visitorscentre Gesteentetuin

9.5 - What is the approximate average daily visitor expenditure? (Please provide an estimated monetary figure in USD)

110/26/4/8/5/11/

9.6 - Please provide the source of information

Museum Schokland and visitorscentre Gesteentetuin, local businesses

9.7 - Does the management system/plan for the World Heritage property include a strategy with an action plan to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived economic, socio-cultural and environmental impacts?

There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation

9.8 - Please provide any comments relating to the answer provided above in question 9.7

Improvements could be made to achieve a higher visitorsnumber, higher revenues and funding. This in order to contribute more to the maintenance of the site.

9.9 - Is visitor use effectively managed to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value of the property?

Visitor use of the World Heritage property is effectively managed and does not impact its Outstanding Universal Value

9.10 - Is the effectiveness of tourism management regularly monitored?

Yes, using a different system

If a different system, please specify

through the administrative agreement

9.11 - How does the tourism industry cooperate with the site management to improve visitor experiences and maintain the

Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage property?

There is good cooperation between those responsible for the World Heritage property and the tourism industry to present the Outstanding Universal Value and increase appreciation

9.12 - How well is the information on the Outstanding Universal Value of the property presented and interpreted?

The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made

9.13 - At how many locations is the World Heritage emblem displayed at the property?

In many locations and easily visible to visitors

9.14 - How does visitor/tourism revenue (e.g. entry charges, permits) contribute to the management of the World Heritage property? Fees are collected, but make no contribution to the management of the World Heritage property

9.15 - Are there locally driven sustainable tourism initiatives?

Yes

If 'Yes', please specify

nature area

9.16 - Are the benefits of tourism shared with local communities?

No

If 'Yes', please specify

9.17 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to visitation/tourism/public use of the World Heritage property More local involvement could be improved upon, an increase in visitor numbers is desirable, especially to increase revenues and to contribute to restoration and maintenance goals

10. Monitoring

10.1 - Is there a monitoring programme at the property directed towards management needs and/or towards improving the understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value?

There is a **comprehensive**, integrated programme of monitoring, which is relevant to management needs and/or improving understanding of the Outstanding Universal Value

10.2 - Is necessary information available in order to define key indicators for measuring the state of conservation and are they used in monitoring how the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is being maintained?

Information on the values of the World Heritage property is **adequate and key indicators have been defined** for measuring the state of conservation and **are being used in monitoring** of how the Outstanding Universal value of the property is being maintained

10.3 - Are key indicators defined and in place for the following principal aspects of the property?

Extend of indicators	Not	No	Indicators have been defined but are	Indicators are in place and in use since the last
	applicable	indicators	not yet in use	Periodic Reporting cycle

10.3.1	State of conservation		×
10.3.2	Effectiveness of the management system		×
10.3.3	Character of governance		×
10.3.4	Appropriate synergy with other conservation designations		×
10.3.5	Contribution to sustainable development	×	
10.3.6	Capacity development		×

10.4 - Please provide information on relevant key indicators adopted at the property See 3.2

10.5 - Please rate the level of involvement in monitoring of the following groups:

World Heritage managers/coordinators and staff	Good
Local/municipal authorities	Good
Local communities	Fair
Indigenous peoples	Not applicable
Landowners	Fair
Women	Not applicable
Researchers	Fair
Tourism industry	Poor
Local businesses and industry	Poor
NGOs	Fair
Other specific groups	Not applicable
If you selected 'Other specific groups', please specify	

10.6 - Has the State Party implemented relevant recommendations arising from the World Heritage Committee?

No relevant Committee recommendations to implement

10.7 - Please provide comments relevant to the implementation of recommendations from the World Heritage Committee. Not applicable

10.8 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to Monitoring

No comment

11. Identification of Priority Management Needs

11.1 - Identification of Priority Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones	
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone	×
5.1.4	The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	×
5.2	Protective Measures	
5.2.5	An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	×
6.1	Funding	
6.1.3	The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	×
6.1.12	A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff	×
8	Education, Information and Awareness Building	
8.2	There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly meets the needs	×
9	Visitor Management	
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	×
9.12	The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made	×

Please select 0 more issues.

Please save this question to reflect changes

12. Summary and Conclusions

12.1. Summary - Factors affecting the Property

12.1.1 - Summary - Factors affecting the Property

World H	eritage criteria an	d attributes a	iffected	Actions	Monitor	ing	Timeframe	e Le	ead agency (and others i	nvolved)	N	lore info	/ comment
4.3	Services I	nfrastructure	es											
4.3.2	Renewable energy facilitie	energy facilities on the OUV the organ making su		e monitor and talk e organisations inv aking sure that the not comprimised	nisations involved, sure that the OUV		g current and ongoing		Municipality of Noordoostpol and Cultural Heritage Agenc		•			
4.3.5	Major linear utilities	see	4.3.2	see 4.3.2	see 4.3.2	2	see 4.3.2		Municipality Cultural He			and	no furth	ner comment
4.5 Biological resource use/modification														
4.5.5	Crop production	not compa with the C the arche	this is due to	use is being nature and order to pre	hern area the g changed to recreation, i eserve the ical remains	ot m n we ye	oilsubsidence onitored in th orldheritage s ears	ie		1 2 F	Zuiderzeel	polder, Water and, province Cultural Herit	of	This is an ongoing proces, which will take more than 10 years
4.10	Climate ch	nange and se	evere weathe	r events										
4.10.7		ner climate ange impacts	See 4.5.5	see	9 4.5.5		see 4.5.5		see 4.5.5	5	see 4.	5.5	see	9 4.5.5
4.11	Sudden ed	cological or	geological ev	ents										
4.11.5	Erosion siltation/	and Deposition	see 4.5.5	see 4.5.5	grou		nd monitoring rlevels by the ind	-	-	see 4.5	.5	see 4.5.5		see 4.5.5
4.12	Invasive/a	lien species	or hyper-abu	Indant species										
4.12.1	Translocated species	impac	rowth of reed i cting on the eological rem	and m	ent mowing nonitoring	ł	every 5 years hydrozone is evaluated		every 5 years	Flevo- Zuide	landschap	e Agency, He o, Waterboarc and municipali Ider	ł	no comment
4.13	Managem	ent and insti	tutional facto	rs										
4.13.6	Human resources	comp	is nog being primised, but h urces are limite	uman look fo	g proces to r funds and int personel	ongo	oing	ongoi	ing		o-landscha	ordoostpolder ap. Het	, no	comment
Summary	- Factors affec	ting the Pro	operty comp	leted										

12.2. Summary - Management Needs

12.2.1 - Summary - Management Needs

5.1	Boundaries and Buffer Zones						
		Actions	Timeframe	Lead agency (and others involved)	More info / comment		
5.1.3	The property has no buffer zone	There is no bufferzone, we monitor developments in the surrounding area for impact on the OUV	ongoing	Municipality of Noordoostpolder	no comment		

5.1.4	• The property has no known and recognised buffer zone	See 5.1.3	see 5.1.3	see 5.1.3	no comment
5.2	Protective Measures				
5.2.5	• An adequate legal framework exists for the broader setting of the World Heritage property and the buffer zone, but there are some deficiencies in implementation which undermine the maintenance of the Outstanding Universal Value including conditions of Authenticity and/or Integrity of the property	With the implementation of the new national planning act the highest possible legal framewo will be achieved		Cultural Heritage Agency, mun of Noordoostpolder and provin Flevoland	
6.1	Funding				
6.1.3	• The available budget is acceptable but could be further improved to fully meet the management needs of the World Heritage property	Further funds can contribute management.		all parties involded	no comment
6.1.12	 A site-based capacity building plan or programme is in place and partially implemented; some technical skills are being transferred to those managing the property locally, but most technical work is carried out by external staff 	·	proces ongoing	alle parties involved	no comment
8	Education, Information and	d Awareness Building			
8.2	 There is a planned education and awareness programme for children and/or youth but it only partly 	More programmes are needed. Wor in progress.	rk is ongoing	alle parties	no comment

	meets the needs				
9	Visitor Management				
9.7	There is a strategy to manage visitors, tourism activity and its derived impacts on the World Heritage property but there are some deficiencies in implementation	more monitoring and especially capacitybuilding on this subject is desirable	ongoing	Cultuurbedrijf and Flevo-landscape, municipality of Noordoostpolder	no comment
9.12	 The presentation and interpretation of the Outstanding Universal Value of the property is acceptable but improvements could be made 	especially on the island more explanation is needed, but a new routing is in production	2023	Flevo-landscape and Cultuurbedrijf	no comment

Summary - Management Needs completed

12.3. Conclusions on the State of Conservation of the Property

12.3.1 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Authenticity of the World Heritage property? The Authenticity of the World Heritage property has been preserved

12.3.2 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of Integrity of the World Heritage property? The Integrity of the World Heritage property is **intact**

12.3.3 - Following the analysis undertaken for this report, what is the current state of the World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value?

The World Heritage property's Outstanding Universal Value has been impacted by factors described in this report, but this situation is being addressed through effective management actions.

12.3.4 - What is the current state of the property's other values?

Other important cultural and/or natural values are being **partially degraded** but the state of conservation of the World Heritage property has **not been significantly impacted**

12.3.5 - Comments. conclusions and/or recommendations related to the state of conservation of the property.

The state of conservation has been improved the last 6 years, due to the development of the second hydrological zone and the adminstrative agreement between all parties involved.

13. Impact of World Heritage Status

13.1 - Please rate the impacts of World Heritage status of the property in relation to the following areas

Conservation	Positive
Research and monitoring	Positive
Management effectiveness	Positive
Quality of life for local communities and indigenous peoples	Positive
Recognition	Positive
Education	Positive
Infrastructure development	No impact
Funding for the property	Positive
International cooperation	No impact
Political support for conservation	Positive
Legal/Policy framework	Positive
Advocacy	Positive

Institutional coordination	Positive
Security	Positive
Gender equality	No impact
Provision of ecosystem services/ benefits to local communities	No impact
Social inclusion and equity, and improvement of opportunities for all, irrespective of age, sex, disability, ethnicity, origin, religion, or economic or other status	Positive
Fostering inclusive local economic development and enhancing livelihood	No impact
Contributing to conflict prevention, including respect for cultural diversity within and around heritage properties	No impact
Other	Not applicable
If 'Other', please specify	

13.2 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to World Heritage status and its impacts The World heritage status is stable

14. Good Practice in the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention

14.1 - Example of good practice in World Heritage protection, identification, conservation or management at the property level

Our worldheritage was used as an example about fostering social responsability in heritage management. The preservation of the land and landscape affects the livelihoods of those who live in the site. These local inhabitants were previously not included in the management of the site. The main aim of the inclusion of residents and private owners (mostly farmers) in the new management plan (2020-2025) therefore was to serve their (economic and social) interests.

14.2 - Define which topics are covered by this example of best practice at the property level

Istainable Development
nergies
ate of Conservation
anagement
overnance
apacity Building

15. Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.1. Relevance of Periodic Reporting

15.1.1 - Has the Periodic Reporting process improved the understanding of the following?

Management effectiveness to maintain the Outstanding Universal Value

Monitoring and reporting

15.1.2 - Please rate the follow-up to conclusions and recommendations from previous Periodic Reporting exercise by the following entities

State Party	Good
Site Managers	Good
UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not needed
Advisory Bodies (ICOMOS, IUCN, ICCROM)	Not needed

15.2. Use of Data

15.2.1 - How do the authorities in charge of the property plan to use the data recorded from this cycle of Periodic Reporting?

Revision of priorities/strategies/policies for the protection, management and conservation of heritage

Update of management plans

Awareness raising

15.2.2 - Comments on use of data from the Cycle of Periodic Reporting

no comment

15.3. Timing and resources

15.3.1 - Entities involved in the filling out of this online questionnaire (tick as many boxes as applicable)

Governmental institutions responsible for cultural and natural heritage

Site Manager/Coordinator World Heritage property staff

15.3.2 - Has a gender balanced contribution and participation been considered in the filling out of this questionnaire? Gender balance has **not been explicitly** considered or implemented in the process.

15.3.3 - Were you given adequate time (i.e. roughly ten months) to gather necessary information and to fill in this questionnaire? Yes

15.3.4 - Please estimate the time (working hours) needed to complete this questionnaire

160 / 160 / 80 /

15.3.5 - Did you mobilise any additional resources to fill out this questionnaire?

	Additional resources	No	Yes
15.3.5.1	Human resources	×	
15.3.5.2	Financial resources for organizing consultation meetings/ training	×	

15.4. Format and content of the Periodic Report

15.4.1 - How accessible was the information required to complete this questionnaire?

All required information was accessible.

15.4.2 - Was the questionnaire easy to use and clear to understand?

		Very Difficult	Difficult	Easy	Very easy
15.4.2.1	Ease of use of questionnaire			×	
15.4.2.2	Clarity of questions		×		

15.4.3 - Please provide suggestions for improvement of the Periodic Reporting questionnaire

No comment

15.5. Training and Guidance

15.5.1 - Please rate the level of support in terms of training and guidance from the following entities in completing this questionnaire

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Fair
UNESCO (other sectors/field offices)	Not applicable
UNESCO National Commission	Fair
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable
ICCROM international/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable

15.5.2 - Please rate the level of support for completing the Periodic Reporting questionnaire from the following entities

UNESCO World Heritage Centre	Not applicable
State Party Representative (national Focal Point)	Good
UNESCO other sectors (e.g. field office)	Not applicable
National Commission for UNESCO	Fair
ICOMOS International	Not applicable
ICCROM International/regional	Not applicable
ICOMOS national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN national/regional	Not applicable
IUCN International	Not applicable

15.5.3 - Were the online training resources prepared by the World Heritage Centre regarding Periodic Reporting adequate for you to complete this questionnaire?

Not applicable (i.e. I did not use these resources)

15.5.4 - If you found that the online training resources were not adequate, what changes would you like to see implemented?

15.6. Actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

15.6.1 - Summary of actions that will require formal consideration by the World Heritage Committee

• Statement of Outstanding Universal Value for the property as adopted by the World Heritage Committee Reason for update: Since 2012, all parties have strived to define a second hydrological buffer zone at the southern tip of the former island, involving another 200 hectares of land. In 2023 this has been realised, securing the longterm protection of the OUV on site. The Dutch government intends to designate the World Heritage property Schokland and Surroundings as a protected conservation area under the Heritage Act (2016) and the Planning Act (2024).

Changes to these items will need to go through the proper processes.

15.7. Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.1 - Comments, conclusions and/or recommendations related to the Assessment of the Periodic Reporting Exercise

15.7.2 - Thank you for having filled in all the questions. Please contact your National Focal Point for validation.